

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,676		07/13/2001	Nicholas Jon Ede	660097.408	4816
500	7590	09/24/2002			
		UAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
701 FIFTH A SUITE 6300			TRAN, MY CHAU T		
SEATTLE, V		04-7092	,		
42 , 122, 1				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1641	7
				DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	LA - Hoometo					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Assista Community	09/905,676	EDE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	My-Chau T. Tran	1641					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5/09</u> .	<u>/02</u> .	·					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accep	•						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •					
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. Name has a second						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		. M					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					



Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-29, are drawn to an activated modular grafted polymeric surface,
 classified in class 525, subclass 11.
 - II. Claims 30-31, are drawn to a method of affinity capture, presentation or preparation of a biomolecule, classified in class 436, subclass 518.
 - III. Claims 32-33, are drawn to the activated modular grafted polymeric surface in a modular three-dimensional form, classified in class 435, subclass 174.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group I (apparatus) and Group II (process) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

 (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.
- 3. Inventions Group III (apparatus) and Group II (process) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.



Art Unit: 1641

(MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process such as the method of protein synthesis.

- 4. Inventions of Group I and Group III are unrelated and independent inventions.

 Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions the different inventions as claimed have different functions and different effects. The feature of a modular three-dimensional form of Group III is not required by the claims of Group I.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the searches required are not co-extensive thus requiring a burdensome search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, different patentability considerations are involved for each group. For example, a patentability determination for Group III would involve a determination of the patentability of an activated modular grafted polymeric surface in a modular three-dimensional form while a patentability determination for Group II would involve a consideration of the patentability of a method of affinity capture, presentation or preparation of a biomolecule. These considerations are very different in nature.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention. If applicants elect the invention of **Group I**, applicants are required to further elect from the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Application/Control Number: 09/905,676

Art Unit: 1641

Species A: claims 2-5 and 7-10, is drawn to a branched polyolefin.

Species B: claim 11, is drawn to triphenylphosphine.

Species C: claim 11, is drawn to a reductant.

Species D: claim 11, is drawn to an oxidant.

Species E: claims 11-12, and 18, is drawn to a chelating metal bound to the grafted polymeric surface.

Species F: claims 11, and 13-16, is drawn to a scavenger bound to the grafted polymeric surface.

Species G: claims 11, and 17, is drawn to a catalyst bound to the grafted polymeric surface.

Species H: claims 19-20 and 22, is drawn to an activating moiety.

Species I: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 1 of Table 1.

Species J: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 2 of Table 1.

Species K: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 3 of Table 1.

Species L: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 4 of Table 1.

Species M: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 5 of Table 1.

Species N: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 6 of Table 1.

Species O: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 7 of Table 1.

Species P: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 8 of Table 1.

Species Q: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 9 of Table 1.

Species R: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 10 of Table 1.

Species S: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 11 of Table 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,676

Art Unit: 1641

Species T: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 12 of Table 1.

Species U: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 13 of Table 1.

Species V: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 14 of Table 1.

Species W: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 15 of Table 1.

Species X: claim 23, is drawn to the structure 16 of Table 1.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 6, 18, 21, and 24 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,676

Art Unit: 1641

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR

1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to My-Chau T. Tran whose telephone number is 703-305-6999.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Long V. Le can be reached on 703-305-3399. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4242 for regular

communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

mct

September 21, 2002

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

20/2/02

Page 6